LAW OFFICES

NOV 2 2 2004

KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C.

Intellectual Property Law

LUKE A. KILYK* (PA, DC) LEONARD D. BOWERSOX ARASH BEHRAVESH* (MA) JASBIR SINGH MATTHEW T. GILL RALPH T. WEBB* (DC, TX, LA)

53 A East Lee Street WARRENTON, VA 20186

TEL:

FAC.:

FAIRFAX OFFICE 3603-E Chain Bridge Road Fairfax, Virginia 22030

(540) 428-1701 (540) 428-1720 (540) 428-1721

Email: lkilyk@kbpatentlaw.com Website: http://www.kbpatentlaw.com

Of Counsel: WILLIAM CHARLES JAMISON WILLIAM O. TROUSDELL* (PA, DC)

*Admitted only in states indicated

PLEASE DIRECT CORRESPONDENCE TO OUR WARRENTON OFFICE

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

DATE:

November 22, 2004

TO:

Examiner Arun S. Phasge Group Art Unit 1753.

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RE:

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/945.354

For: CHROMATOGRAPHY AND OTHER ADSORPTIONS USING MODIFIED

CARBON CLAD METAL OXIDE PARTICLES

Our Ref: 00124CIP (3600-312-01)

FROM:

Luke A. Kilvk, Es

FAC. TEL. NO.:

1-703-872-9306

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET): 4

Items Attached: Response to Election of Species Requirement and Restriction Requirement -- 3 pages

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. 1-703-872-9306 on November 22, 2004.

Donald S. Prater Name (Print)

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE IS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. This message may also be an attorney/client communication which is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by calling us collect and return the original message to us at the above address by mail. Thank you.

02

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 2 2 2004

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. 1-703-872-9306 on November 22, 2004.

Donald S. Prater

Name (Print)

Signature

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| re Application of: KYRLIDIS et al |) | Examiner: Arun S. Phasge |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Application No.: 09/945,354 |) | Group Art Unit: 1753 |
| Filed: August 31, 2001 |) | Confirmation No.: 1450 |
| Docket No.: 00124CIP (3600-312-01) |) | Customer No. 33432 |

For: CHROMATOGRAPHY AND OTHER ADSORPTIONS USING MODIFIED

CARBON CLAD METAL OXIDE PARTICLES

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT AND RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

November 22, 2004

Sir:

This Response to Election of Species Requirement and Restriction Requirement is in response to the Office Action dated October 20, 2004, for which the Examiner has set a one-month period for response, thus making the response due on or before November 22, 2004. November 20th and 21st were a Saturday and Sunday, respectively.

In the Office Action, the Examiner sets forth a three-way restriction requirement wherein Group I, claims 1-4, 7-10, and 77-79 are drawn to a chromatography column and method; Group II, claims 11, 12, and 15 are drawn to an electrophoresis apparatus and method; and Group III, claims 13 and 14 are drawn to a membrane separation.

To be responsive, the applicants elect, with traverse, Group I, encompassing claims 1-4, 7-10, and 77-79 for examination. As discussed below, claims 5-6, 16-76, and 80-83 will also be part

Response To Restriction Requirement U.S. Patent Application No. 09/945,354

of this election.

The applicants believe that the remaining claims, namely claims 11-15 should be examined at this time since there appears to be no serious burden on the part of the Examiner to search the entire scope of the claims. It is believed that the subject matter has the same concept from the stand point that the searches would overlap.

Also, at page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner set forth an Election of Species Requirement with respect to the present application.

In particular, the Examiner requested that applicants elect one of species 1) chromatography or 2) electrophoresis for purposes of claims 5-6, 16-76, and 80-83.

To be responsive, applicants elect with traverse the species "chromatography" as the species for examination with respect to claims 5-6, 16-76, and 80-83.

With respect to this election of species, the claims that read upon this election include claims 5-6, 16-76, and 80-83 as well as Group I.

The applicants believe that the remaining species can be examined at this time since there appears to be no serious burden on the part of the Examiner to search the entire scope of the claims. At a minimum, the Examiner should proceed with examination of the remaining species upon determining the patentability of the elected species.

Under M.P.E.P. § 803, if there is no serious burden in the examination of all of the claims even if the claims are directed to separate inventions, the Examiner must examine all claims at this time. It would appear that § 803 applies to the current situation and therefore the restriction requirement should be withdrawn and all claims should be examined at this time.

If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to Deposit Account No. 50-0925. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §

Response To Restriction Requirement U.S. Patent Application No. 09/945,354

1.136 not accounted for above, such extension is requested and should also be charged to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Luke A. Kilyk

Reg. No. 33,251

Atty. Docket No. 00124CIP (3600-312-01) KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C. 53 A East Lee Street Warrenton, VA 20186

Tel.: (540) 428-1701 Fax: (540) 428-1720